

# Annex I - Notice of the Call

# **Evaluation Guide**

**Call for PhD Studentships – 2021** 

\_\_\_\_\_



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
ACRONYMS	3
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. EVALUATION PROCESS	4
2.1 Guiding principles for peer-review evaluation	4
2.2 Conflict of Interests (COI)	4
2.3 Terms of Reference and Confidentiality	5
2.4 Constitution of the Evaluation Panels	5
2.5 Role and Responsibilities of the Panel Chair	6
2.6 Remote and Panel Meeting Evaluation	7
2.6.1 Remote evaluation	7
2.6.1.1 Individual Evaluation	7
2.6.1.2 Pre-consensus Evaluation	8
2.6.2 Panel Meeting	8
2.7 Comments to be transmitted to Applicants	9
2.8. Panel Meeting Report	9
Annex I – Scientific fields, adapted from the FOS Classification of the Manual Fras	scati11

















## **ACRONYMS**

**BD** – PhD Studentship

**COI** – Conflict of Interests

**EBI** – Research Fellowship Holder Statute

FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P.

myFCT – FCT Information and Management System of FCT

**CV** – Curriculum Vitae

**RBI** – FCT Regulation for Studentships and Fellowships



















#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Evaluation Guide is the document prepared to help evaluators and applicants understand the procedure associated to applications evaluation of the PhD Studentships Call – 2021.

No information contained in this Guide replaces or overlaps with what is stated in the Research Fellowship Holder Statute (EBI), the FCT Regulation for Studentships and Fellowships (RBI) and the Notice of the Call.

#### 2. EVALUATION PROCESS

#### 2.1 Guiding principles for peer-review evaluation

The mission of FCT is to ensure the scientific quality of the peer review process. Therefore, evaluators shall give precedence to quality and originality over quantity, when analysing applicants and supervisors' CVs. The scientific content represents the essential core of peer review, which requires a global and integrated vision of all components of the applicant's scientific and professional career and the research work plan. The application must be evaluated taking into consideration its originality, consistency and coherence, and its contribution to the progress of knowledge in all of its components.

Impartiality and transparency are fundamental principles for evaluation decisions. All applications will be treated and assessed impartially, on the base of their merit, regardless of origin or identity of the applicant, supervisors or affiliation institution, safeguarding situations of conflict of interests (COI).

#### 2.2 Conflict of Interests (COI)

If the chair, co-chair or any other member of the evaluation panel is in a situation of COI regarding any of the applications submitted to the panel, he/she must declare it to FCT as early as the first contact with the application is made.

Panel members in any declared COI situation cannot be assigned by the chair or co-chair as readers of the respective applications, and will be prevented from contacting in any way with the applications or their evaluation, throughout the evaluation process.

The COI declarations must mandatorily be included in the panel meeting report. The chair of the evaluation panel, in collaboration with FCT, is responsible for compiling the list of declared COI situations that include the application reference, name of the applicant and the respective panel member.

The situations of COI of the chair, co-chair, evaluators and external reviewers include, but are not limited to:



















- Belonging to the same academic organizational unit<sup>1</sup> and/or the same R&D unit<sup>2</sup> of the host a) **institution** of the work plan associated to the application;
- Belonging to the same academic organizational unit and/or the same R&D unit of the higher education b) institution of the supervisor and/or co-supervisor(s) associated to the application;
- c) Belonging to the scientific committee of the Doctoral Programme indicated in the application;
- d) Having published scientific work with the applicant or with the applicant's supervisor or co-supervisor(s) in the three years prior<sup>3</sup> to the date of opening of the application period;
- Having on-going scientific collaboration with the applicant, their supervisor or co-supervisor(s); e)
- f) Being related (family relationship) to the applicant, supervisor or co-supervisor(s);
- Having a scientific or personal conflict with the applicant supervisor or co-supervisor(s); g)
- h) Being in any other situation that may raise doubts to her/himself, to third parties, namely the applicant or an external entity, about their capacity to assess the application impartially.

#### 2.3 Terms of Reference and Confidentiality

All panel members, including evaluators, chair and co-chair, as well as potential external reviewers, who do not participate in the panel but who collaborate with it, establish with FCT the commitment to respect a set of responsibilities essential to the evaluation process, such as impartiality, declaration of potential COI and confidentiality. During all the evaluation process, confidentiality must be fully protected and ensured in order to guarantee the independence of all opinions produced. All panel members, as well as external reviewers, are responsible for ensuring confidentiality about the entire evaluation process, as well as the content of the applications, being prevented from copying, citing or using any type of material contained therein.

#### 2.4 Constitution of the Evaluation Panels

Evaluation panels are constituted by experts with acknowledged scientific merit and experience. Evaluation panels are also established according to coverage of scientific fields and sub-fields, gender balance, geographical and institutional diversity (including higher education institutions, R&D units, state laboratories and associated laboratories, companies with R&D activity, among others). All the panel members, including the chair and co-chair, and external reviewers that may eventually collaborate with the panel, may never be a supervisor or co-supervisor of applicants with applications submitted under the evaluation panel where they participate, but may, nevertheless, be the supervisor or co-supervisor of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It will be considered for this purpose the printing date or the publication date of the book, volume of the edition or of the journal issue.

















<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Academic organizational unit refers to the department, if the structure of the faculty/school is organized by organizational units of a departmental nature, or to the faculty/school if not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In case there are more than one cluster/pole of the same R&D unit, the entire institution should be considered, regardless of the indicated cluster/pole



candidates applying to alternative evaluation panels.

The assessment work developed by each panel is coordinated, under FCT's invitation, by one of its members, who has the responsibility for assuring that the evaluation exercise is carried out with transparency, independence and equity.

The chair may assess a reduced number of applications, namely in specific situations when there is a lack of scientific coverage in the panel or COI of the remaining panel members.

The chair shall appoint, among the members of the respective panel, a co-chair to assist her/him in the coordination tasks, as the management of applicants with which has declared COI, for example. The evaluator nominated as co-chair accumulates the tasks of co-coordination with those of evaluator of the applications assigned to her/him.

Evaluation panels will be composed based on the adaptation of the FOS Classification of the Frascati Manual (OECD's Revised Field of Science and Technology Classification in the Frascati Manual - see Annex I).

Applications are assigned to the different panels according to the main scientific field, secondary scientific field and scientific subfield indicated by the applicant, in accordance with the table included in Annex I. The scientific fields and subfield identified by the applicant cannot be altered by the evaluation panel, and, therefore, applications cannot be transferred to a different evaluation panel.

The constitution of the Evaluation Panels is made public in the FCT's webpage. The list of panel chairs will be disclosed during the application submission period and the list of evaluators that will participate in the evaluation process will be published before the beginning of the evaluation period.

#### 2.5 Role and Responsibilities of the Panel Chair

In collaboration with FCT, the chair is responsible for:

- a) Ensuring that the evaluation exercise is carried out with transparency, independence and equality;
- b) Appointing a co-chair to support her/him in the panel management activities and delegating the tasks considered necessary to the proper management of the panel work;
- c) Allocating to each application two evaluators, appointing them as 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> readers, considering their fields of expertise and the application's subfield;
- d) Identifying applications that may need external reviewers;
- e) Managing the identified COIs;
- f) Ensuring that all panel members follow the guidelines and clarifications provided by FCT throughout the process, as well as the harmonization of evaluation parameters that the panel may establish;
- g) Verifying, in a joint action with the panel members, the suitability of the applications to the panel, identifying any applications outside the scope of the panel that may be considered as "Nonassessable";



















- h) Ensuring that all panel members know and apply the established criteria and sub-criteria, and the respective weighing of such criteria and sub-criteria, when filling in the individual evaluation reports;
- i) Assuring the compliance with the deadlines granted to evaluators to prepare the individual and preconsensus evaluation reports;
- j) Ensuring that, when filling in the evaluation reports, evaluators justify their grading with clear and substantive arguments that allow understanding the correspondence between both;
- k) Moderating the panel meeting and ensuring a collegial process of decision;
- Assuring that the final evaluation report is prepared until the end of the panel meeting;
- m) Guaranteeing that all the final evaluation reports produced by the panel, that will be communicated to applicants, are consistent and coherent with each other, that the comments demonstrate the relative merit of the applications and are in accordance with the provisions of this guide, the applicable legislation and with the respective scores;
- n) Preparing the panel meeting report, together with all the panel members;
- o) Collaborating with FCT to solve any unexpected event that may occur before, during and/or after the panel meeting;
- p) Coordinating the preliminary hearing process.

#### 2.6 Remote and Panel Meeting Evaluation

#### 2.6.1 Remote evaluation

Before the beginning of the evaluation process, all panel members (including chair and co-chair) will have to indicate on the FCT's information system, myFCT, the applications with which they are in a situation of conflict of interests, thus preventing access to the details of these applications. The list of COIs declared will be included in the panel meeting report, which will be made available to the applicants.

The remote evaluation is divided in two stages: i) individual evaluation and ii) pre-consensus evaluation, both of which are carried out in myFCT portal. In the first stage, each evaluator must complete their individual evaluation forms as 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> reader, and in the second stage, the 1<sup>st</sup> reader will be responsible to produce the pre-consensus report that should reflect the analysis of both readers allocated to the application.

#### 2.6.1.1 Individual Evaluation

- a) Each application is individually assessed by two panel members who are not in a situation of COI with the applicant and respective supervisor(s) and affiliation institution(s).
- b) If any of the evaluators identifies an additional situation of COI concerning any application(s) attributed to her/him, it must be immediately and formally declared to FCT and to the panel chair, who is responsible for the reallocation of the application(s).



















- c) Whenever justified, as in the case of interdisciplinary applications, the chair should request to FCT the opinion of external reviewers, during the individual remote evaluation period, considering the transdisciplinarity of the proposal and the institutional collaborations described in the application.
- d) An application shall be considered non-assessable when it strays considerably from the scientific field in which it was submitted and if there is an alternative panel where it would better suit. The evaluation panel must jointly validate this decision during the panel meeting and that must be made explicit in the final evaluation report and justified in the panel meeting report.
- e) An application shall also be considered non-assessable when a violation of at least one of the mandatory admissibility requirements of the applicant or application is identified, whenever it has not been identified in the prior stage of administrative review of admissibility (as, for example, the case of submitting the same recommendation twice).
- f) Each evaluator must fill in an individual evaluation report for each of the applications that they are assigned to, score the three evaluation criteria separately (see section 5. Notice of the Call) and prepare the respective comments in order to clearly justify the score awarded.

#### 2.6.1.2 Pre-consensus Evaluation

- a) At the end of the individual evaluation stage, the 1st reader is responsible for preparing a pre-consensus report within the pre-established deadline that takes place before the panel meeting.
- b) When preparing the pre-consensus report, the 1st reader must take into consideration the two individual evaluations (and external reviewers' assessment, if applicable).

#### 2.6.2 Panel Meeting

The panel meeting consists on the reunion of all panel members where the collegial discussion of all applications submitted to the panel is promoted. This meeting comprises the following:

- Analysis and joint discussion of all applications, taking into consideration the individual and preconsensus evaluation reports previously produced which constitute the working documents for the panel;
- During the meeting, the 1st readers must be prepared to present a summary of strengths and eventual weaknesses of each application that has been assigned to them. During the discussion, the participation of all panel members should be encouraged;
- The final evaluation of each panel is performed by discussing the relative merit of all the applications, after which the final score for each application is established. If any panel member is in a situation of conflict of interests with any application, he/she will not be able to participate in the discussion and should leave the meeting. If this situation applies to the chair and the co-chair, another panel member should be assigned to moderate the meeting and the discussion of these applications;
- d) The 1st reader is responsible for preparing the final evaluation reports, taking into consideration the discussion and the collegial decision of the panel;



















- e) All the final evaluation reports produced must be consistent and coherent with each other, also exhibiting a correspondence between the scores and respective comments;
- All panel members are responsible for the discussion of the relative merit of all the applications. From the collegial discussion shall result a single provisional ranked list, per evaluation panel.

#### 2.7 Comments to be transmitted to Applicants

Each panel should pay attention to present, in a clear, consistent and coherent manner, the arguments that led to the scores awarded to each of the evaluation criteria and sub-criteria; the eventual disability bonuses and respective degree of disability should also be mentioned. It is the responsibility of the chair and the co-chair to ensure that the panel justifies the scores with substantive arguments that allow the understanding of the meaning of the evaluation, identifying the strengths and weaknesses of each application for each evaluation criteria (see point 5. of the Notice of the Call).

In case the applicant presents more than one graduate and/or master degree, the panel should indicate which of the degrees has been selected for the calculation of sub-criterion A1 - Academic Career. In case of academic degrees obtained in a foreign country, the panel should mention if the applicant has submitted, or not, the respective recognition and/or conversion to the Portuguese grading scale.

The comments in the final evaluation reports should comply with the following recommendations:

- a) Do not use the 1st person; alternatively, as an example, use "The panel considers that (...)";
- b) Avoid descriptive comments or that are a mere summary of elements included in the application;
- c) Avoid generic and/or vague comments, such as "very weak work plan", "adequate CV", "excellent hosting conditions", etc.;
- d) Use analytic and impartial language, avoiding depreciative comments about the applicant, the work plan proposed, the supervisors, etc.;
- e) Avoid asking questions since the applicant cannot reply.

#### 2.8. Panel Meeting Report

The panel meeting report is a responsibility of all panel members and must be signed by all, being the chair responsible for writing it down.

The panel meeting report must include:

- a) The name and affiliation of all panel members;
- b) The indication of applications considered as "non-assessable";
- c) The panel adopted methodology used for particular cases;



















d) The provisional ranked list of all the applications evaluated by the panel, in descending order of the final score.

In addition, the panel meeting report must include the following annexes:

- e) The list of COI declared by all the panel members;
- f) Eventual vote and competence delegations for justified absences.



















## Annex I – Scientific fields, adapted from the FOS Classification of the Manual Frascati

Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
1a Exact Sciences	1.1 Mathematics	Pure Mathematics	Mathematics
Ta Exact Sciences	1.1 Mathematics	Applied Mathematics	
		Statistics and Probability	
		Mathematics – Other	
	1.2 Computer and	Computation Sciences	Computer Sciences and
	<b>1.2</b> Computer and Information Sciences	Information Sciences	Computer Sciences and Informatics
	information sciences	Bioinformatics	informatics
		Computer Sciences and Informatics – Other	
	4.0.01	Atomic Physics	BI .
	<b>1.3</b> Physical Sciences	Molecular Physics	Physics
		Chemical Physics	
		Condensed Matter Physics	
		Particle Physics	
		Nuclear Physics	
		Fluids and Plasma Physics	
		Medical and Biological Physics	
		Optics	
		Acoustics	
		Astronomy	
		Gravitation and Cosmology	
		Physical Sciences - Other	
	1.4 Chemical Sciences	Organic Chemistry	Chamista
		Inorganic Chemistry	Chemistry
		Nuclear Chemistry	
		Physical Chemistry	
		Polymer Science	
		Electrochemistry	
		Colloid Chemistry	
		Analytical Chemistry	
		Medicinal Chemistry	
		Chemistry – Other	

















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
41 44 4 4 4 4		Geosciences and Multidisciplinary Studies	Fauth Calara
1b Natural Sciences	1.5 Earth and related	Mineralogy	Earth Sciences
	Environmental Sciences	Palaeontology	1
		Geochemistry	1
		Geophysics	1
		Physical Geography	1
		Geology	1
		Volcanology	1
		Meteorology	1
		Atmospheric Sciences	=
		Climate Research	=
		Oceanography	=
		Hydrology	=
		Water Resources	-
		Earth Sciences - Other	-
		Natural Resources and Sustainability	
			Environmental Sciences
		Monitoring and Environmental Impact Environmental Management	-
			-
		Ecotoxicology	4
		Waste Management and Recovery	_
		Climate Change	_
		Atmosphere and Pollution	_
		Water and Pollution	_
		Environmental Sciences – Other	
	<b>1.6</b> Biological Sciences	Cellular Biology	Experimental Biology
		Microbiology	and Biochemistry
		Virology	
		Biochemistry	
		Molecular Biology	
		Biochemical Research Methods	
		Biophysics	
		Genetics and Heredity	
		Reproductive Biology	1
		Developmental Biology	1
		Experimental Biology and Biochemistry - Other	1
		Botany	5
		Zoology	Biological Sciences
		Mammalogy	
		Herpetology	
		Ichthyology	=
		Ornithology	-
		Entomology	-
		Mycology	=
			-
		Behavioural Biology  Marine Biology	+
			-
		Aquaculture	-
		Freshwater Biology	4
		Limnology	-
		Ecology	4
		Biodiversity Conservation	4
		Evolutionary Biology	_
		Organism Biology	_
		Biological Sciences – Other	

















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
2 Engineering and Technology	2.1 Civil Engineering	Civil Engineering Architecture Engineering Construction Engineering Municipal Engineering Structural Engineering Transport Engineering Civil Engineering – Other	Civil Engineering
	2.2 Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering	Robotics Automation and Control Systems Communication Engineering and Systems Telecommunications Computer Hardware and Architecture Electrical and Electronic Engineering – Other	Electrical and Electronic Engineering
		Informatics	Computer Sciences and Informatics
	2.3 Mechanical Engineering	Mechanical Engineering and Engineering Systems Applied Mechanics Thermodynamics Aerospace Engineering Nuclear Engineering Manufacturing Processes Audio Engineering and Reliability Analysis Mechanical Engineering – Other	Mechanical Engineering
	2.4 Chemical Engineering	Chemical Engineering Chemical Process Engineering Chemical Engineering – Other	Chemical Engineering
	2.5 Materials Engineering	Materials Engineering Ceramics Coating and Films Composites Paper and Wood Textiles Nanomaterials Materials Engineering – Other	Materials Engineering and Nanotechnologies
	2.6 Medical Engineering	Medical Engineering and Biomedical Engineering Laboratory Technology Medical Engineering – Other	Bioengineering and Biotechnology
	2.7 Environmental Engineering	Environmental Engineering Geological Engineering Geotechnics Petroleum engineering, Energy and Fuels Remote Sensing Mining and Mineral Processing Marine Engineering Sea Vessels Ocean Engineering Environmental Engineering – Other	Environmental Engineering

















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
2 Sciences of Engineering and Technology	2.8 Environmental Biotechnology	Bioremediation Diagnostic Biotechnologies in Environmental Management; Environmental Biotechnology Related Ethics Environmental Biotechnology – Other	Bioengineering and Biotechnology
	2.9 Industrial Biotechnology	Industrial Biotechnology Bioprocessing Technologies Biocatalysis Fermentation Bioproducts Biomaterials Bioplastics Biofuels New Bio-Derived Materials Bio-Derived Chemicals Industrial Biotechnology - Other	
	2.10 Nanotechnology	Nanodevices Nanoprocesses Nanotechnologies – Other	Materials Engineering and Nanotechnologies
	<b>2.11</b> Food Engineering and Technology	Food Engineering and Technology Food Engineering and Technology - Other	Agricultural and Food Technologies



















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
3 Medical and	<b>3.1</b> Basic Medicine	Biomedicine	Biomedicine
Health Sciences	3.1 Dasic Medicine	Anatomy and Histology	Bioinculcine
nealth Sciences		Human Genetics	
		Immunology	
		Neurosciences	
		Pharmacology	
		Biopharmaceuticals	
		Toxicology	
		Physiology	
		Pathology	
		Basic Medicine – Other	
	3.2 Clinical Medicine	Andrology	Clinical Madiaina and
	3.2 Clinical Medicine	Obstetrics and Gynaecology	Clinical Medicine and Health Sciences
		Paediatrics	Treatti Sciences
		Cardiac and Cardiovascular System	
		Haematology	
		Respiratory System	
		Critical Care Medicine and Emergency Medicine	
		Anaesthesiology	
		Orthopaedics	
		Surgery	
		Radiology, Nuclear Medicine and Medical Imaging	
		Transplants	
		Stomatology	
		Oral Surgery and Medicine	
		Dermatology	
		Infectious Diseases	
		Allergology	
		Rheumatology	
		Endocrinology and Metabolism	
		Gastroenterology and Hepatology	
		Urology and Nephrology	
		Oncology	
		Ophthalmology	
		Otorhinolaryngology	1
		Psychiatry	1
		Clinical Neurology	1
		Geriatrics and Gerontology	
		General and Family Medicine	1
		Internal Medicine	1
		Integrative and Complementary Medicine	1
		Clinical Medicine – Other	



















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
2 Madical and	3.3 Health Sciences	Health Care and Services	Clinical Medicine and
3 Medical and	3.3 Health Sciences	Health Services and Policies	- Health Sciences
Health Sciences		Nursing	Treatti Sciences
		Nutrition, Dietetics	
		Public Health and Environmental Health	
		Tropical Medicine	
		Parasitology	
		Epidemiology	
		Occupational Medicine	
		Occupational Health	
		Sports and Fitness Sciences	
		Social Biomedical Sciences	
		Bioethics and History and Philosophy of	
		Medicine	
		Addiction	
		Health Sciences - Other	
	0.414 1: 15:	Health-related Biotechnology	B:
	<b>3.4</b> Medical Biotechnology	Technologies involving the manipulation of	Bioengineering and
		Cells, Tissues, Organs or the whole Body	Biotechnology
		Gene-based Diagnose and Therapies	
		Medical Biotechnology Related Ethics	
		Medical Biotechnology – Other	
	3.5 Forensic Sciences	Forensic Chemistry and Biochemistry	Clinical Medicine and
	5.5 Furensic Sciences	Forensic Sciences – Other	Health Sciences



















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
4.4		Agriculture	A suiscultures Farracture and
4 Agricultural	<b>4.1</b> Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	Forestry	Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
Sciences	and risheries	Fishery	Tisheries
		Soil science	
		Horticulture	
		Viticulture	
		Agronomy	
		Plant Production	
		Plant Protection	
		Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries – Other	
	4.3 Animal and Dain.	Animal and Dairy Science	Animal and Veterinary
	<b>4.2</b> Animal and Dairy Science	Livestock Breeding	Sciences
	Science	Pets	Jeienees
		Animal and Dairy Science – Other	
	<b>4.3</b> Veterinary Sciences	Veterinary Science	
	4.3 Vetermary Sciences	Veterinary Science – Other	
	A A A minute and and English	Agricultural and Food Biotechnology	Agricultural and Food
	<b>4.4</b> Agricultural and Food Biotechnology	Food Security	Technologies
	Bioteciniology	Agricultural Biotechnology Related Ethics	Technologies
		Agricultural and Food Biotechnology – Other	
		Cloning of Domestic Animals	Animal and Veterinary Sciences
		Biomass Production Technologies	Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries



















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
5 Social Sciences	<b>5.1</b> Psychology	Criminal Psychology Social and Organizational Psychology Cognitive Psychology and Neuropsychology Clinical Psychology Psychology of Development and Learning Educational Psychology Community and Health Psychology Psychology – Other	Psychology
	<b>5.2</b> Economics and Management	Economics Management Economics and Management – Other	Economics and Management
	<b>5.3</b> Educational Sciences	General Education Educational Sciences	Educational Sciences
	5.4 Sociology	Sociology Sociologic Criminology Social Service Sociology – Other	Sociology
		Anthropology Anthropology – Other	Anthropology
	5.5 Law	Public Law Criminal Law Private Law European and International Law Human Rights Law, Social Sciences and Humanities Law – Other	Law
	<b>5.6</b> Political Sciences	Political Science Military Science Compared Politics Political Theory International Relations Public Policy European Studies Political Sciences – Other	Political Sciences
	<b>5.7</b> Social and Economic Geography	Economic and Social Geography Geographic Urbanism Social and Economic Geography – Other	Social and Economic Geography
	<b>5.8</b> Media and Communications	Documental and Information Sciences Journalism and Media Communication and Science Management Media and Communications – Other	Communication and Information Sciences



















Main Scientific Field	Secondary Scientific Field	Subfield	Evaluation Panel
6 Humanities	<b>6.1</b> History and	Prehistory and Archaeology	History and Archaeology
	Archaeology	Ancient History	
		Medieval History	
		Modern History	_
		Contemporary History	
		History of Science and Technology	_
		History and Archaeology – Other	
	<b>6.2</b> Languages and	Literature	Literature Studies and
	Literature	Portuguese Studies	Culture Studies
		Romanic Studies	
		Anglophone Studies	
		Classical Studies	
		Asian and African Studies	
		Germanic Studies	
		Literature Studies and Culture Studies – Other	
		Linguistics	
		Linguistics – Other	Linguistics
	<b>6.3</b> Philosophy, Ethics and Religion	Philosophical Anthropology	
		Epistemology	Philosophy
		Aesthetics and Philosophy of Art	
		Ethics and Political Philosophy	
		Philosophy of Science	
		Philosophy of Religion	
		History of Philosophy	
		Logic	
		Metaphysics and Ontology	
		Theology	
		Philosophy- Other	
		Fine Arts	
	<b>6.4</b> Arts	Musicology	Arts
		Visual Performative Arts (Cinema, Television,	=
		Drama, Dance, etc.)	
		Arts – Other	
		History of Art	
		Conservation and Restoration	Museology and History
		Museology	of Art
		Museology and Art History – Other	
		Architecture	
		Urbanism and Spatial Planning	Design, Architecture and Urbanism
		Design	
		Design, Architecture and Urbanism – Other	1















